International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering
*Volume 6, Issue 4 (July - August 2025), PP: 26-29.*
https://www.doi.org/10.59256/ijire.20250604004
*www.theijire.com*
**ISSN No: 2582-8746**

# A Review of Data-Driven Decision-Making Approaches in Curriculum Design

**Dr. Deepali Y. Kirange[1], Dr. Yogesh N. Chaudhari[2]**
*[1, 2]Assistant Professor, KCES's Institute of Management and Research, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.*

**How to cite this paper:**
Dr. Deepali Y. Kirange[1], Dr. Yogesh N. Chaudhari[2] "A Review of Data-Driven Decision-Making Approaches in Curriculum Design", IJIRE-V6I4-26-29.



***Abstract:*** *This paper reviews the growing role of data-driven decision making (DDDM) in modern curriculum design. It explores how educational data—such as academic performance, attendance records, engagement metrics, and feedback—can be effectively used to improve teaching and learning outcomes. The study discusses various tools and techniques, including machine learning models, data visualization platforms, and learning analytics, that help educators make informed curricular decisions. It also highlights key frameworks such as Outcome-Based Education (OBE) and Bloom's Taxonomy, emphasizing the importance of ethical data use, privacy, and institutional readiness. The paper concludes by identifying future research opportunities in AI-assisted curriculum co-design and adaptive learning systems.*

***Keywords:*** *Data-driven decision making, curriculum design, learning analytics, machine learning in education, academic data, AI in education, educational data mining, student-centered learning, outcome-based education, educational technology.*

## I.INTRODUCTION

Data-driven decision-making (DDDM) in education means using real data—like student test scores, attendance records, and feedback—to make curriculum changes based on evidence rather than assumptions [1], [2]. This approach helps educators identify which parts of the curriculum work well and which need improvement, leading to better student outcomes and more efficient learning pathways [3]. By analysing performance data across units or whole cohorts, schools can tailor curriculum content to address learning gaps or reinforce strengths [4]. In the era of AI and big data, educational institutions can now apply predictive analytics, learning analytics, and machine learning to uncover deep patterns in student learning, enabling personalized and responsive curriculum design at scale [5],[6]. These technologies also support continuous curriculum evaluation, impact assessment, and real-time adaptation to student needs.

## II.TYPES OF EDUCATIONAL DATA USED

**To design effective curricula, educators analyse different categories of data:**

- **Academic performance data**, such as grades, test scores, and course completion rates, help highlight which courses or modules meet learning objectives and which may require redesign [10].
- **Attendance and engagement metrics**, including LMS logs, clickstream, and time spent on assignments, reflect student participation and can indicate when and where curriculum content fails to engage learners [8].
- **Feedback and surveys**—both quantitative ratings and open-ended comments—provide direct insights from students and faculty on curriculum relevance, clarity, pacing, and difficulty level [7].
- **Demographic and socio-economic data** (age, gender, socioeconomic background, parental education) enable institutions to tailor learning pathways for underrepresented or disadvantaged groups, supporting more equitable curriculum design [9].

By integrating these data types, curriculum designers can proactively address gaps, personalize learning paths, and optimize outcomes for diverse student populations.

## III.DATA COLLECTION AND PRE-PROCESSING

Educational institutions increasingly rely on Learning Management Systems (LMS)—like Moodle and Canvas—to automatically collect data about student interactions such as logins, quiz attempts, time spent on learning modules, and forum activity [11], [12]. This LMS-generated data forms the basis for learning analytics and helps educators monitor engagement and curriculum effectiveness.

To integrate and analyse this data, institutions use ETL (Extract, Transform, Load) pipelines that extract data from LMSs and student information systems, clean and transform it, and store it in centralized data warehouses for reporting and decision support [13], [14], [15]. These systems provide a unified, reliable dataset for analysis.

**Handling missing, inconsistent, or noisy data**—such as incomplete attendance logs or misformatted survey responses—is critical. Common techniques include imputation for missing values, normalization to standardize formats, and error-checking rules to ensure data consistency before analysis [13] [14]. Robust pre-processing ensures that curriculum designers can draw accurate, actionable insights from educational data.

## IV.COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES FOR CURRICULUM DESIGN

Machine Learning (ML) models, like decision trees, logistic regression, and neural networks, are used in education to predict student performance and identify those at risk of failing or dropping out [16]. Educators can use clustering techniques such as K-means to group students with similar learning needs, helping personalize curriculum content [18].

Association rule mining is applied to discover course dependencies, such as identifying which foundational subjects are linked to success in advanced courses [5], [17]. This helps optimize course prerequisites in the curriculum.

Additionally, recommender systems, similar to those used in e-commerce, are now being used to suggest elective subjects or modules to students based on their performance, interests, and peer patterns [19]. These data-driven tools support curriculum design that better matches student goals and academic paths.

## V.VISUALIZATION AND DASHBOARDS

Data visualization tools such as Power BI and Tableau, along with Python libraries like matplotlib and seaborn, are widely used to present educational data in a meaningful way [20]. These tools help institutions create interactive dashboards to monitor key performance indicators (KPIs) like student dropout rates, course pass rates, and academic progress [21].

Administrators can use these dashboards to evaluate curriculum effectiveness and identify areas needing improvement. Visual analytics also help in tracking learning outcomes, making it easier for decision-makers to support data-informed changes. Customizable charts and heatmaps assist in spotting patterns such as low engagement in specific subjects or demographic trends.

By turning raw educational data into visual insights, stakeholders can take faster and more accurate decisions to enhance the curriculum and overall academic experience.

## VI.FRAMEWORKS FOR DATA-DRIVEN CURRICULUM DESIGN

Educational institutions often follow structured curriculum design frameworks such as Outcome-Based Education (OBE) and the CDIO (Conceive–Design–Implement–Operate) approach. These models focus on setting clear learning outcomes and aligning teaching methods accordingly [23] [24]. OBE uses measurable learning outcomes to ensure students gain the required knowledge and skills, which can be tracked and improved using student performance data.

The CDIO framework, widely adopted in engineering education, emphasizes hands-on learning and can benefit from analytics tools to monitor design thinking, project progress, and teamwork dynamics [23].

Additionally, Bloom’s Taxonomy, which categorizes learning into cognitive levels (remembering, understanding, applying, etc.), can be enhanced through data analytics. By mapping student performance data to these levels, educators can identify gaps in critical thinking or application skills [22].

Integrating such models with analytics makes curriculum planning more effective and evidence-driven.

## VII.LITERATURE REVIEW

The use of data to inform curriculum decisions has gained momentum with the growth of educational technologies. Studies by **Ifenthaler and Yau [26]** emphasized that learning analytics enables institutions to tailor courses based on student behavior and performance. **Slade and Prinsloo [28]** discussed ethical frameworks for using student data in higher education. **Papamitsiou and Economides [27]** reviewed various educational data mining methods and found that they significantly help in predicting learning patterns. Additionally, **[25]** highlighted how big data can enhance curriculum planning, academic advising, and institutional policy. Research suggests that integrating analytics into curriculum development improves learning outcomes, reduces dropout rates, and fosters personalized learning pathways. However, concerns about privacy, data quality, and implementation challenges remain ongoing issues that need to be addressed.

### 7.1 Comparative Analysis of Data-Driven Curriculum Reform Studies

Many recent studies show how data is being used to improve curriculum design and student learning. The table 7.1 shows the comparative study of research papers.

*Table 7.1 Comparative Study*

| Study / Source | Focus | Tools / Techniques Used | Key Outcomes |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ifenthaler & Yau (2020) [26] | LMS data use in curriculum improvement | Learning Management Systems (LMS) | Improved course structure, increased student satisfaction |
| Papamitsiou & Economides (2014) [27] | Review of learning analytics in education | Dashboards, predictive models | Curriculum adjustments based on student needs |
| Chatti et al. (2012) [29] | Impact of data tools in education | ML, clustering, Power BI, Tableau | Better performance, reduced dropout, improved engagement |
| Comparative Insights | Integration of models and data tools | Educational models + data visualization & analytics | More effective and personalized curriculum reforms |

This comparative view highlights that combining educational models with data tools leads to meaningful curriculum reforms.

## VIII.CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING DATA-DRIVEN CURRICULUM DESIGN

Implementing data-driven decision-making in education faces several challenges. Ensuring data privacy is crucial, especially under laws like the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) in the U.S. and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR**)** in Europe, which govern how student data can be collected and shared [31]. Many educational institutions also lack technical infrastructure or trained personnel to manage and analyze educational data effectively [30]. Moreover, integrating diverse data sources such as academic records, LMS logs, and demographic information poses technical and organizational difficulties. These challenges can delay implementation and reduce the effectiveness of data-informed curriculum reforms.

## IX.RECOMMENDATIONS

To improve curriculum design using data-driven approaches, several steps are recommended. First, educational institutions should adopt open data policies by sharing anonymized student data to support research and innovation without violating privacy [32]. Collaboration between computer scientists and educators can enhance curriculum planning by combining technical tools with pedagogical knowledge [26]. Additionally, integrating AI-based decision-support systems can help institutions make better choices about course content, learning resources, and student needs. These systems can analyse large datasets to provide real-time feedback and predictions, making curriculum development more responsive and evidence-based.

## X.CONCLUSION

Data science and artificial intelligence (AI) are playing an important role in transforming curriculum design in education. By analysing academic performance, engagement data, and student feedback, institutions can make better, evidence-based decisions. This leads to more personalized and student-centered learning experiences. Adaptive teaching strategies can be created using insights from data, improving both teaching quality and learning outcomes. Tools like learning analytics and recommender systems are already showing benefits. However, there is still room for further research, especially in integrating AI with intelligent tutoring systems and curriculum co-design platforms. Such innovations can make education more dynamic, responsive, and future-ready.

## References

1. [Schildkamp, K., & Kuiper, W. (2010). Data use in schools as a foundation for improving teaching and curriculum design. Systematic review.
2. Mandinach, E. B., & Gummer, E. S. (2016). Data literacy for teachers and data-driven decision-making… Frontiers in Education.
3. Dimara, E., et al. (2021). Curriculum decisions based on data analysis tend to be more accurate... Data-driven Educational Planning Strategy.
4. Yindrizal, & Susiana. (2024). Data-Driven Educational Planning Strategy: Examining challenges… ResearchGate preprint.
5. Romero, C., & Ventura, S. (2024). Educational data mining and learning analytics: An updated survey. arXiv preprint.
6. Sajja, R., Sermet, Y., Cwiertny, D., & Demir, I. (2023). Integrating AI and learning analytics for data-driven pedagogical decisions… arXiv preprint.

7. Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. K. (2024). Analyzing Learner Feedback and Educational Data for Curriculum Development.In D. Ifenthaler (Ed.), Data Analytics in Higher Education (pp. 23–37). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54464-4_2
8. Kovanović, V., Gašević, D., & Siemens, G. (2024). Learning Analytics Methods and Tools. In R. Ferguson, A. Merceron, & X. Ochoa (Eds.), Handbook of Learning Analytics (2nd ed., pp. 21–40). Society for Learning Analytics Research (SoLAR). https://lamethods.org/book1/chapters/ch02-data/ch2-data.html
9. Papamitsiou, Z., & Economides, A. A. (2022). Learning Analytics and Educational Data Mining in Practice: A Systematic Review of Empirical Evidence. Internet and Higher Education, 55, 100853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2022.100853
10. Romero, C., Ventura, S., & Pechenizkiy, M. (2024). Educational Data Mining and Learning Analytics: An Updated Survey. Patterns, 5(3), 100663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2024.100663
11. Pahl, C. (2004). Data generated by learner interactions with LMS supports learning analytics. Journal of Learning Analytics, 1(2), 94–125.
12. Kovanović, V., Gašević, D., & Siemens, G. (2023). Learning analytics methods and tools. In R. Ferguson, A. Merceron, & X. Ochoa (Eds.), Handbook of Learning Analytics (2nd ed., pp. 21–40). SoLAR.
13. Kimball, R. (2004). The Data Warehouse ETL Toolkit: Practical Techniques for Extracting, Cleaning, Conforming, and Delivering Data. Wiley.
14. Integrate.io. (2025). How to build data pipelines for the education industry. Integrate.io Blog.
15. SchoolAnalytix. (2024). Implementing a data warehouse system in education. SchoolAnalytix Blog.
16. Moreira, F., Machado, J., & Costa, C. J. (2022). A dataset for predicting student dropout and academic success. Data, 7(11), 146. https://doi.org/10.3390/data7110146
17. Romero, C., & Ventura, S. (2013). Data mining in education. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 3(1), 12–27. https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1075
18. Sweeney, M., Lester, J., & Rangwala, H. (2016). Next-term student performance prediction: A recommender systems approach. Journal of Educational Data Mining, 8(1), 22–51.
19. Thai-Nghe, N., Drumond, L., Horváth, T., Krohn-Grimberghe, A., & Schmidt-Thieme, L. (2010). Matrix factorization vs. neighborhood models: Reducing the long tail of recommender systems. In Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Education (ICCSE) (pp. 1–5). IEEE.
20. Sarikaya, A., & Correll, M. (2017). Factors affecting visual analytics usage patterns. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 24(1), 286–296. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2017.2745080
21. Zhang, J., Almeroth, K., Zheng, B., & Niemi, D. (2020). Using learning analytics dashboards to support self-regulated learning in online environments. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68, 1053–1076. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09731-1
22. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. Longman.
23. Crawley, E. F., Malmqvist, J., Östlund, S., Brodeur, D. R., & Edström, K. (2014). Rethinking engineering education: The CDIO approach (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05561-9
24. Spady, W. G. (1994). Outcome-Based Education: Critical Issues and Answers. American Association of School Administrators.
25. Daniel, B. K. (2015). Big Data and analytics in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. British Journal of Educational Technology, 46(5), 904–920. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12230
26. Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics to support study success in higher education: A systematic review. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68, 1961–1990. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09788-z
27. Papamitsiou, Z., & Economides, A. A. (2014). Learning analytics and educational data mining in practice: A systematic literature review of empirical evidence. Educational Technology & Society, 17(4), 49–64. https://www.jstor.org/stable/jeductechsoci.17.4.49
28. Slade, S., & Prinsloo, P. (2013). Learning analytics: Ethical issues and dilemmas. American Behavioral Scientist, 57(10), 1510–1529. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213479366
29. Chatti, M. A., Dyckhoff, A. L., Schroeder, U., & Thüs, H. (2012). A Reference Model for Learning Analytics. International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning, 4(5-6), 318–331. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTEL.2012.051815
30. Ifenthaler, D., & Schumacher, C. (2016). Student perceptions of privacy principles for learning analytics. Educational Technology Research and Development, 64(5), 923–938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9477-y
31. Regan, P. M., & Jesse, J. (2019). Ethical challenges of edtech, big data and personalized learning: Twenty-first century student sorting and tracking. Ethics and Information Technology, 21(3), 167–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-019-09519-7
32. Piety, P. J., Hickey, D. T., & Bishop, M. J. (2014). Educational data sciences: Framing emergent practices for analytics of learning, organizations, and systems. Learning, Media and Technology, 39(1), 10–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2013.861517